

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,766	10/615,766 07/10/2003		Larry W. Smith	19316.000202	9461
34637	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
BIDDLE &		·· ·	DONELS, JEFFREY		
6300 POWERS FERRY ROAD SUITE 600-183 ATLANTA, GA 30339				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2837	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		SMITH, LARRY W.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey Donels	2837	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 /	<u>April 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	,	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• •	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	• •			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al in view of Ochi.

Tsai et al. discloses a musical apparatus which comprises a main body (Fig. 1), a keyboard 4A, synthesizer 7, memory unit 3, display unit 6A, where lyrics are displayed (Fig. 4A). Tsai et al does not explicitly teach the displaying of lyrics contained in the memory unit in a predetermined synchronization with actuation of the keyboard. Ochi discloses a music score display device which comprises the displaying of lyrics contained in the memory unit in a predetermined synchronization with actuation of the keyboard (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Tsai et al with those of Ochi, so as to allow for more automatic display of the user data.

Claims 4,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al in view of Ochi, and further in view of Akimoto et al.

The Tsai/Ochi combination as described above discloses all features claimed except for the pop-up display or microphone. Akimoto et al discloses an electronic

musical instrument which comprises a pop-up display and microphone (see especially. Figs. 1,5,10,18,19, pop-up screen 80). It would have been obvious to adapt the Tsai/Ochi combination with those of Akimoto et al, as to make the device more portable and conducive to use with a singer.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Paulson et al (USP 5521323) is further cited to show related teachings in the art.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,766

Art Unit: 2837

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 571-272-2061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey Donels Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837